

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS. P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	` CONFIRMATION NO.	1
10/092,481	03/07/2002	Robert Lance Cook	25791.85	7124	
27,684 75	90 07/25/2003				
HAYNES AND BOONE, LLP 1000 LOUISIANA SUITE 4300			EXAMINER		
			DOUGHERTY, JENNIFER R		
HOUSTON, TX 77002			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	٦
			3672		
			DATE MAILED: 07/25/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			Qt.			
		Application N .	Applicant(s)			
		10/092,481	COOK ET AL.			
Offic Ad	tion Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		Jennifer R. Dougherty	3672			
The MAILING Period for Reply	DATE of this communication a	ppears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATHE MAILING DATE  - Extensions of time may be after SIX (6) MONTHS fro  - If the period for reply spec  - If NO period for reply is specified by the specified by	E OF THIS COMMUNICATION e available under the provisions of 37 CFR of m the mailing date of this communication. ified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re- ecified above, the maximum statutory perio- set or extended period for reply will, by state	LY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH I.  1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tile bely within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the, cause the application to become ABANDONE ing date of this communication, even if timely filed.	mely filed ys will be considered timely. In the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
1)⊠ Responsive t	o communication(s) filed on <u>07</u>	<sup>7</sup> March 2002 .				
2a) ☐ This action is	FINAL. 2b)	This action is non-final.				
		wance except for formal matters, per <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 4				
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>2,5,</u>	1 <u>5 and 17-90</u> is/are pending in	the application.				
4a) Of the abo	ve claim(s) is/are withdr	awn from consideration.				
5) Claim(s)	_is/are allowed.					
6) Claim(s)	_ is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s)	_ is/are objected to.					
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	<u>5 and 17-90</u> are subject to res	triction and/or election requirement	t.			
Application Papers						
	on is objected to by the Examir					
	<del></del>	epted or b) objected to by the Exa				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.  If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
•	claration is objected to by the E					
Priority under 35 U.S.O		_Adminer.				
<u>-</u>		gn priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a	a) (d) or (f)			
	ome * c) None of:	gir priority under 35 0.5.0. § 119(	a)-(d) 01 (1).			
	I copies of the priority docume	nts have been received				
		nts have been received in Applicat	ion No			
		iority documents have been receiv				
appl	ication from the International E	Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). st of the certified copies not receive	_			
14) Acknowledgmer	nt is made of a claim for domes	stic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(	e) (to a provisional application).			
		rovisional application has been red stic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120				
Attachment(s)						
	ted (PTO-892) Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal	y (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Art Unit: 3672

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restrictions

1. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention: coupling tubulars using an expansion cone (claims 2, 15, and 17-81); and coupling tubulars using coupling slots (claims 5 and 82-90).

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, none are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the

Art Unit: 3672

case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

- 2. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).
- 3. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jennifer Dougherty whose telephone number is (703) 308-6365. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday from 7:30 AM to 5:00 PM (EST).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Bagnell, can be reached on (703) 308-2151. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 305-3597. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1113.

July 22, 2003

DAVID BAGNELL ( SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600